

# Fagevaluering av medisin og helsefag (EVALMEDHELSE) 2023-2024

**INFORMASJONSMØTE** 15. mai 2023

Sted: Zoom (det tas opptak av møtet)

Tid: kl 14-15

Hilde G. Nielsen, dr. philos  
spesialrådgiver





## Vedtekter for Norges forskningsråd

### § 1 Formål

Norges forskningsråd skal være et nasjonalt utøvende forskningsstrategisk organ. Norges forskningsråd har ansvar for å øke kunnskapsgrunnlaget og bidra til å dekke samfunnets behov for forskning ved å fremme grunnleggende og anvendt forskning og innovasjon. Forskningsrådet skal arbeide for å fremme internasjonalt forskningssamarbeid. Norges forskningsråd skal gi myndighetene råd i forskningspolitiske saker.


*Forskning skal utvide grensene for hva vi vet,  
forstår og kan få til.*

### § 2 Hovedoppgaver

Norges forskningsråd skal

- arbeide for å fremme internasjonalt forskningssamarbeid
- bidra til kvalitet i norsk forskning
- bidra til samarbeid og helhet i det offentlige virkemiddelapparatet
- bidra til god arbeidsdeling og samarbeid i det forskningsutførende nivået og ha et strategisk ansvar for instituttsektoren
- sørge for evaluering av norsk forskning
- gi myndighetene råd som grunnlag for utforming av forskningspolitikken.

# 3. runde med fagevalueringer - LIVSVITENSKAP



# Evaluatingsprotokoll vedtatt i Porteføljestyret for livsvitenskap 5. april 2022




---

## Evaluation of life sciences in Norway 2022-2023

---

LIVSEVAL protocol version 1.0

---



Evaluering av  
administrative enheter  
(hoved-  
evalueringsobjektet)

Evaluering av  
forskergrupper

Nasjonal rapport EVALMEDHELSE

En evalueringsrapport per administrative  
enhet

En evalueringsrapport per  
forskergruppe

**INNMELDING AV**

**ADMINISTRATIV ENHET**

**Frist 6. juni 2023**



# Hva menes med en administrativ enhet?

## Evalueringsprotokollen, s. 3

### Administrative unit

An administrative unit is any part of an research performing organisations (RPO) that is recognised as a formal (administrative) unit of that RPO, with a designated budget, strategic goals and dedicated management. It may, for instance, be a university faculty or department, a department of an independent research institute or a hospital.

Tips: Vi anbefaler å se dette i sammenheng med Terms of Reference (Appendix A i protokollen, s. 12 -13).

Alternativ 1

Fakultet xx

Vurderes i en  
evalueringskomite

Forskergruppe x

Forskergruppe y

Forskergruppe z

Vurderes i et  
ekspertpanel

Alternativ 2

Avdeling xx

Vurderes i en  
evalueringskomite

Forskergruppe x

Forskergruppe y

Forskergruppe z

Vurderes i et  
ekspertpanel

Alternativ 3

Institutt xx

Vurderes i en  
evalueringskomite

Forskergruppe x

Forskergruppe y

Forskergruppe z

Vurderes i et  
ekspertpanel

Alternativ 4

Klinikk xx

Vurderes i en  
evalueringskomite

Forskergruppe x

Forskergruppe y

Forskergruppe z

Vurderes i et  
ekspertpanel

Fem eksempler på  
administrativ enheter  
og forskergrupper



Alternativ 5

Institutt/senter x

Institutt/senter x

Vurderes i en  
evalueringskomite

Vurderes i et  
ekspertpanel

# Appendix A: Terms of References (ToR)

[Text in red to be filled in by the Research-performing organisations (RPOs)]

The board of [RPO] mandates the evaluation committee appointed by the Research Council of Norway (RCN) to assess [administrative unit] based on the following Terms of Reference.

## Assessment

You are asked to assess the organisation, quality and diversity of research conducted by [administrative unit] as well as its relevance to institutional and sectoral purposes, and to society at large. You should do so by judging the unit's performance based on the following five assessment criteria (a. to e.). Be sure to take current international trends and developments in science and society into account in your analysis.

- a) Strategy, resources and organisation
- b) Research production, quality and integrity
- c) Diversity and equality
- d) Relevance to institutional and sectoral purposes
- e) Relevance to society

For a description of these criteria, see Chapter 2 of the life sciences evaluation protocol.

Please provide a written assessment for each of the five criteria. Please also provide recommendations for improvement. We ask you to pay special attention to the following [n] aspects in your assessment:

- 1. ...
- 2. ...
- 3. ...
- 4. ...

...

[To be completed by the board: specific aspects that the evaluation committee should focus on – they may be related to a) strategic issues, or b) an administrative unit's specific tasks.]

In addition, we would like your report to provide a qualitative assessment of [administrative unit] as a whole in relation to its strategic targets. The committee assesses the strategy that the administrative unit intends to pursue in the years ahead and the extent to which it will be capable of meeting its targets for research and society during this period based on available resources and competence. The committee is also invited to make recommendations concerning these two subjects.

## Documentation

The necessary documentation will be made available by the life sciences secretariat at Technopolis Group.

The documents will include the following:

- a report on research personnel and publications within life sciences commissioned by RCN
- a self-assessment based on a template provided by the life sciences secretariat
- [to be completed by the board]

## Interviews with representatives from the evaluated units

Interviews with the [administrative unit] will be organised by the evaluation secretariat. Such interviews can be organised as a site visit, in another specified location in Norway or as a video conference.

## Statement on impartiality and confidence

The assessment should be carried out in accordance with the *Regulations on Impartiality and Confidence in the Research Council of Norway*. A statement on the impartiality of the committee members has been recorded by the RCN as a part of the appointment process. The impartiality and confidence of committee and panel members should be confirmed when evaluation data from [the administrative unit] are made available to the committee and the panels, and before any assessments are made based on these data. The RCN should be notified if questions concerning impartiality and confidence are raised by committee members during the evaluation process.

## Assessment report

We ask you to report your findings in an assessment report drawn up in accordance with a format specified by the life sciences secretariat. The committee may suggest adjustments to this format at its first meeting. A draft report should be sent to the [administrative unit] and RCN]. The [administrative unit] should be allowed to check the report for factual inaccuracies; if such inaccuracies are found, they should be reported to the life sciences secretariat within the deadline given by the secretariat. After the committee has made the amendments judged necessary, a corrected version of the assessment report should be sent to the board of [the RPO] and the RCN after all feedback on inaccuracies has been received from [administrative unit].



## ❖ De fem evalueringskriteriene i evaluatingsprotokollen


Nasjonal rapport - EVALMEDHELSE

- **Relevance to society**
- **Relevance to intitutional and sectorial purposes**
- **Diversity and equality**
- **Research production, quality and integrity**
- **Strategy, resources and organisation**



**Administrative enheter – evalueres av evalueringskomiteer  
(Terms of Reference (ToR))**

Forskergrupper – evalueres av ekspertpaneler  
(Benchmark)



# Innmelding av administrativ enhet – frist 6. juni 2023

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	
1										
2										
3	<b>Innmeldingsskjema fagevaluering av medisin og helsefag (EVALMEDHELSE) 2023-2024</b>									
4										
5										
6	EVALMEDHELSE = medisin og helsefag inkludert basale biofag									
7										
8	Frist for innsendelse: <b>6. juni 2023</b>									
9										
10										
11	Skjemaet sendes til: <a href="mailto:evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no">evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no</a>									
12										
13										
14										
15	<b>Institusj</b>	<b>Innmelding av administrativ enhet (fakultet/avdeling/klinikk/insitu</b>			<b>Kontaktperson administrativ ansvarlig</b>			<b>Kontaktperson faglig ansvarlig</b>		<b>Ønsker ikke å delta</b>
16					<b>Navn</b>	<b>Epost</b>	<b>Mobil</b>	<b>Navn</b>	<b>Epost</b>	<b>Mobil</b>
17										<b>Begrunnelse</b>
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

**Skjemaet sendes fra kontaktperson for administrativ enhet til [evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no](mailto:evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no)**



# Frist for å sende inn Terms of Reference: 30. september 2023

## Appendix A: Terms of References (ToR)

[Text in red to be filled in by the Research-performing organisations (RPOs)]

The board of [RPO] mandates the evaluation committee appointed by the Research Council of Norway (RCN) to assess [administrative unit] based on the following Terms of Reference.

### Assessment

You are asked to assess the organisation, quality and diversity of research conducted by [administrative unit] as well as its relevance to institutional and sectoral purposes, and to society at large. You should do so by judging the unit's performance based on the following five assessment criteria (a. to e.). Be sure to take current international trends and developments in science and society into account in your analysis.

- a) Strategy, resources and organisation
- b) Research production, quality and integrity
- c) Diversity and equality
- d) Relevance to institutional and sectoral purposes
- e) Relevance to society

For a description of these criteria, see Chapter 2 of the life sciences evaluation protocol. Please provide a written assessment for each of the five criteria. Please also provide recommendations for improvement. We ask you to pay special attention to the following [n] aspects in your assessment:

- 1. ...
- 2. ...
- 3. ...
- 4. ...
- ...

[To be completed by the board: specific aspects that the evaluation committee should focus on – they may be related to a) strategic issues, or b) an administrative unit's specific tasks.]

In addition, we would like your report to provide a qualitative assessment of [administrative unit] as a whole in relation to its strategic targets. The committee assesses the strategy that the administrative unit intends to pursue in the years ahead and the extent to which it will be capable of meeting its targets for research and society during this period based on available resources and competence. The committee is also invited to make recommendations concerning these two subjects.

### Documentation

The necessary documentation will be made available by the life sciences secretariat at Technopolis Group.

The documents will include the following:

- a report on research personnel and publications within life sciences commissioned by RCN
- a self-assessment based on a template provided by the life sciences secretariat
- [to be completed by the board]

### Interviews with representatives from the evaluated units

Interviews with the [administrative unit] will be organised by the evaluation secretariat. Such interviews can be organised as a site visit, in another specified location in Norway or as a video conference.

### Statement on impartiality and confidence

The assessment should be carried out in accordance with the *Regulations on Impartiality and Confidence in the Research Council of Norway*. A statement on the impartiality of the committee members has been recorded by the RCN as a part of the appointment process. The impartiality and confidence of committee and panel members should be confirmed when evaluation data from [the administrative unit] are made available to the committee and the panels, and before any assessments are made based on these data. The RCN should be notified if questions concerning impartiality and confidence are raised by committee members during the evaluation process.

### Assessment report


We ask you to report your findings in an assessment report drawn up in accordance with a format specified by the life sciences secretariat. The committee may suggest adjustments to this format at its first meeting. A draft report should be sent to the [administrative unit] and RCN]. The [administrative unit] should be allowed to check the report for factual inaccuracies; if such inaccuracies are found, they should be reported to the life sciences secretariat within the deadline given by the secretariat. After the committee has made the amendments judged necessary, a corrected version of the assessment report should be sent to the board of [the RPO] and the RCN after all feedback on inaccuracies has been received from [administrative unit].




# **INNMELDING AV FORSKERGRUPPER**

**Frist 6. juni 2023**

# Fagevaluering av biologi, medisin og helsefag 2010/2011 – 2. runde fagevaluering - organisering



# To nasjonale oppfølgingsutvalg 2012





# TENTATIV PANELINNDELING

## EVALMEDHELSE

**APRIL/MAI 2023**

<b>Panel 1 PHYSIOLOGY</b> Physiology-related Disciplines (human physiology), including corresponding translational research	Anatomy, physiology, neurobiology, toxicology, pharmacology, embryology, nutritional physiology, pathology, basic <del>odontological</del> research
<b>Panel 2 MOLECULAR BIOLOGY</b> Molecular Biology, including corresponding translational research	Microbiology, immunology, cell biology, biochemistry, molecular biology, genetics, genomics, biotechnology including bioinformatics
<b>Panel 3a CLINICAL RESEARCH</b> Clinical Research, including corresponding translational research	All surgery, <del>anaesthesiology</del> , oncology, physical medicine and rehabilitation, <del>gynaecology</del> , <del>paediatrics</del> , dermatology and venereology, ophthalmology, otolaryngology and all clinical odontology
<b>Panel 3b CLINICAL RESEARCH</b> Clinical Research, including corresponding translational research	All internal medicine (cardiology, nephrology/urology, gastroenterology, endocrinology, <del>haematology</del> , infectious diseases, respiratory tract diseases, geriatric medicine), neurology, rheumatology, radiology and medical imaging and other clinical medical disciplines
<b>Panel 4 PUBLIC HEALTH</b> Public Health and Health-related Research	Public health, community dentistry and community nutrition. Epidemiology and medical statistics. Health services research, preventive medicine, nursing research, physiotherapy, professional research, occupational medicine, behavioral research and ethics, other health-related research
<b>Panel 5 PSYCHOLOGY</b> Psychology and Psychiatry	Clinical psychology, social-, community- and workplace psychology, organizational psychology, personality psychology, developmental psychology, cognitive psychology, biological psychology and forensic psychology. Psychiatry, including geriatric psychiatry, child and adolescent psychiatry, biological psychiatry, and forensic psychiatry



# Hva er en forskergruppe?

## Evaluatingsprotokollen, s. 3

Research group

Designates groups of researchers within the administrative units that fulfil the minimum requirements set out in section 1.2. Research groups are identified and submitted for evaluation by the administrative unit, which may decide to consider itself a single research group.



- 1.2 Minimum requirements for research groups**
- 1) **The research group must be sufficiently large in size, i.e. at least five persons in fulltime positions with research obligations.** This merely indicates the minimum number, and larger units are preferable. In exceptional cases, the minimum number may include PhD students, postdoctoral fellows and/or non-tenured researchers. In all cases, a research group must include at least three full-time tenured staff. Adjunct professors, technical staff and other relevant personnel may be listed as group members but may not be included in the minimum number.
  - 2) **The research group subject to assessment must have been established for at least three years.** Groups of more recent date may be accepted if they have come into existence as a consequence of major organisational changes within their host institution.
  - 3) **The research group should be known as such both within and outside the institution (e.g. have a separate website).** It should be able to document common activities and results in the form of co-publications, research databases and infrastructure, software, or shared responsibilities for delivering education, health services or research-based solutions to designated markets.
  - 4) **In its self-assessment, the administrative unit should propose a suitable benchmark for the research group.** The benchmark will be considered by the expert panels as a reference in their assessment of the performance of the group. The benchmark can be grounded in both academic and extra-academic standards and targets, depending on the purpose of the group and its host institution

Tips: Vi anbefaler å se dette i sammenheng med Benchmark for forskergruppe, jf. s. 4-5 i evaluatingsprotokollen




# De fem evalueringskriteriene i evaluatingsprotokollen


15.05.2023

Nasjonal rapport livsvitenskapelig forskning i Norge

- Relevance to society
- Relevance to intitutional and sectorial purposes
- Diversity and equality
- Research production, quality and integrity
- Strategy, resources and organisation



Administrative enheter – evalueres av evalueringskomiteér  
(Terms of Reference (ToR))



Forskergrupper – evalueres av ekspertpaneler  
(Benchmark)

# Innmelding av forskergrupper: frist 6. juni 2023

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	
1	<b>Innmeldingsskjema fagevaluering av medisin og helsefag (EVALMEDHELSE) 2023-2024</b>																
2	EVALMEDHELSE = medisin og helsefag inkludert basale biofag																
3	Frist for innsendelse: 6. juni 2023. Skjemaet sendes fra administrativ enhet til: <a href="mailto:evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no">evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no</a>																
4																	
5	Spørsmål kan rettes til: Hilde G. Nielsen, <a href="mailto:hgn@forskningsradet.no">hgn@forskningsradet.no</a> , mobil 40 92 22 60.																
6																	
7																	
8	<b>Administrativ enhet (institusjon, institutt, avdeling)</b>	<b>Navn på forskerguppe</b>	<b>Forskningsomr åde (stikkord)</b>	<b>Lenke til forskerguppens nettside</b>	<b>Oppfyller kravene gitt i pkt 1.2 i evalueringsprot</b>	<b>Antall faste vitenskapeli ge stillinger</b>	<b>Antall midlertidige vitenskapelig e stillinger</b>	<b>Antall PhD- stipendiater</b>	<b>Antall tekniske stillingar</b>	<b>Ekspertpanell (førstevalg) (SE YEDLEGG 5)</b>	<b>Ekspertpan el (evt. andrevalg) (SE)</b>	<b>Passer ikke i noen av ekspertpanele ne. Forslag til</b>	<b>Andre merknader</b>	<b>Navn</b>	<b>Stilling/tittel</b>	<b>Epost</b>	<b>Telefon</b>
9																1.1 1) positions preferab and/or n staff. Adj may not	
10																2) previous consequ	
11																3) e.g. a sep publicati educatio	
12																4) self-ass of the pa standard	
13																	
14																	
15																	
16																	
17																	
18																	
19																	
20																	
21																	
22																	
23																	
24																	
25																	
26																	
27																	
28																	
29																	
30																	
31																	
32																	


Ark1

Klar Beregn Tilgjengelighet: Alt er klart Visningsinnstillingar 50%

Skjemaet sendes fra kontaktperson for administrativ enhet til [evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no](mailto:evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no)



# Fagevaluering av medisin og helse 2023-2024



Internasjonale ekspertpaneler vil evaluere forskergrupper på tvers av institusjon, sektor, fag og disiplin

# ✓ Foreslå gjerne eksperter til evalueringsskomiteene og ekspertpanelene – frist 6. juni 2023

EVALBIOVIT 2022- 2023 - FORSLAG TIL KOMITÉMEDLEMMER						
	B	C	D	E	F	
1						
2						
3	<b>Frist:</b> innen 6. juni 2023 til evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no					
4	<b>Forslag fra:</b>	Administrativ enhet	Navn	Epost	Telefon	
5						
6						
7						
8	Navn	Tittel	Institusjon	Land	Kontaktinformasjon	Ekspertise (fagområde- stikkord)
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
	FANE 1- forslag til komitemedl FANE 2 - Ekspertforslag					
	Klar Beregn Tilgjengelighet: Undersøk Visningsinnstilling					

EVALMEDHELSE 2023-2024 - FORSLAG TIL PANELEKSPERTER							
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	
1							
2							
3	<b>Frist:</b> 6. juni 2023 til evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no						
4	<b>Forslag fra:</b>	Administrativ enhet	Navn	Epost	Telefon		
5							
6							
7							
8	Navn	Tittel	Institusjon	Land	Kontaktinformasjon	Ekspertise (fagområde- s	Passer til panel (skriv inn pane
9							Mulig lederka
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
	FANE 1- forslag til komitemedl FANE 2 - Ekspertforslag						
	Klar Beregn Tilgjengelighet: Undersøk Visningsinnstilling						

**Skjemaet sendes fra kontaktperson for administrativ enhet til evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no**



# Hva skjer fremover – viktige frister

Hva	Dato	Sendes til
Frist for å melde inn 1) administrative enheter og 2) forskergrupper	<b>6. juni 2023</b>	Fra kontaktperson for administrativ enhet til <a href="mailto:evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no">evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no</a>
Frist for å foreslå eksperter til evalueringskomiteene og ekspertpanelene	<b>6. juni 2023</b>	Fra kontaktperson for administrativ enhet til <a href="mailto:evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no">evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no</a>
Frist for administrative enheter til å sende inn Terms of Reference	<b>30. september 2023</b>	Fra kontaktperson for administrativ enhet til <a href="mailto:evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no">evalmedhelse@forskningsradet.no</a>

Tilbakemelding fra Forskningsrådet til innmeldte administrative enheter og forskergrupper	<b>Innen juni 2023</b>	
Utsendelse av egenvurderingsskjema og skjema for impact cases	<b>September 2023</b>	Frist for innlevering januar 2024



# Fagevaluering av medisin og helsefag 2023-2024

- **Evalueringen er internasjonal** – alle dokumenter/nettsider etc som ekspertpanelene og/eller evalueringsskomiteene skal lese/evaluere **MÅ** være på engelsk.
- **Evaluering er digital** – Hovedregelen er at vi mottar **INGEN** dokumenter som vedlegg. I egenvurderingsskjemaet skal det oppgis lenker til de ulike dokumentene/publikasjoner etc.
- Det vil **kun** være digitale intervjuer på administrativ enhetsnivå
- **Ingen** digitale intervjuer på forskergruppenivå



← → ⌂ https://www.forskningsradet.no/tall-analyse/evalueringer/fag-tema/medisin-helsefag/ Getting Started Feed | LinkedIn Doodle – Dashboard

Forskningsrådet

Prosjektbanken ▾ Mitt nettsted ▾ Søk Meny

15.05.2023

HJEM | STATISTIKK OG EVALUERINGER | EVALUERING | FAG- OG TEMA-EVALUERINGER | EVALUERING AV MEDISIN OG HELSEFAG

## Evaluering av medisin og helsefag

Forskningsrådet evaluerer norsk forskning og høyere utdanning for å fremme kvalitet, relevans og effektivitet i forskningen. Evaluering av medisin og helsefag (EVALMEDHELSE) pågår i 2023 og 2024, som den andre evalueringen innen livsvitenskap. Fagevaluering av biovitenskap startet opp i 2022 og ferdigstilles i 2023.

Hovedmålet med fagevalueringen er å vurdere kvaliteten på norsk medisin og helsefaglig forskning, rammebetingelsene for forskningen og forskningens relevans for sentrale samfunnsområder. Evalueringen skal resultere i anbefalinger til institusjonene, Forskningsrådet og departementene.

Forskningsrådet evaluerer norske fagmiljøer for å skape et solid og oppdatert kunnskapsgrunnlag om norsk forskning og høyere utdanning i et internasjonalt perspektiv. Slik kunnskap er nyttig for institusjonene som deltar i evalueringen, for oss som gir råd til myndighetene om hvordan forskningen bør utvikles videre, og for myndighetene som setter mål og rammer for forskning og høyere utdanning.

### Dette skjer nå

Forskningsrådet inviterer aktuelle miljøer til deltagelse i EVALMEDHELSE med frist 6. juni 2023 til å melde inn administrative enheter og forskergrupper.

Ytterligere informasjon om den videre prosessen vil bli gitt innmeldte administrative enheter etter fristen.

#### Fase 1: Utforme evaluéringsmandater

Målet for fase 1 er å utforme evaluéringsmandater for den enkelte institusjon. Institusjonene tar utgangspunkt i

#### Kontaktperson

Hilde Dorthea Grindvik  
Nielsen  
Helse  
[hgn@forskningsradet.no](mailto:hgn@forskningsradet.no)  
+4740922260

#### Lenker

- Invitasjon til å delta.pdf
- Skjema 1 Admin.enhet.xlsx
- Skjema 2 Forskergrupper.xlsx
- Skjema 3: Ekspertforslag

<https://www.forskningsradet.no/tall-analyse/evalueringer/fag-tema/medisin-helsefag/>


Her finner dere informasjon om fagevaluering av biovitenskap (EVALBIOVIT), egenvurderingsskjemaer, impact case skjema m.m.:

<https://www.forskningsradet.no/tall-analyse/evalueringer/fag-tema/biovitenskap/>

# Idékraft verden trenger

# ❖ De fem evalueringkskriteriene i evaluatingsprotokollen

15.05.2023



# Assessment criteria and grading

## Research groups

- **Strategy, resources and organization**  
⇒ Grading 1-5
- **Research quality and societal contribution**  
⇒ Two grading scales (1-5)
  - ⇒ Research and publication quality
  - ⇒ Research group's contribution
- **Societal impact**  
⇒ Two grading scales (1-5)
  - ⇒ Research group's societal contribution
  - ⇒ User involvement

## Administrative units

NO GRADING

- **Strategy, resources and organisation of research**
- **Research production, quality and integrity**
- **Diversity and equality**
- **Relevance to institutional and sectorial purposes**
- **Relevance to society**



## What could be a suitable benchmark? From the Evaluation protocol

- The benchmark can be grounded in **both academic and extra-academic standards and targets**, depending on the purpose of the group and its host institution
- This benchmark can be
  - i.a reference to an academic level of performance or
  - ii.to the group's contributions to other institutional or sectoral purposes
- The benchmark will be used as a **reference in the assessment of the research group** by the expert panel